

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONED FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexantria Virginia 22313-1450 www.upplo.guv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/433,257	11/04/1999	YEVGENIY EUGENE SHTEYN	PHA-23.782	2314
24738 7590 05/21/2007 PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
			LIN, WEN TAI	
1109 MCKAY SAN JOSE, C	' DRIVE, M/S-41SJ A 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Drav Jobb, C	,		2154	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Notice of Abandonment	09/433,257	SHTEYN, YEVG EUGENE	SHTEYN, YEVGENIY EUGENE	
•	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Wen-Tai Lin	2154		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	dress	
This application is abandoned in view of:	,			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certifica period for reply (including a total extension of tirent 	te of Mailing or Transmission d	ated), which is after the expired on	expiration of the	
(b) 🛛 A proposed reply was received on, but it			he final rejection.	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final re application in condition for allowance; (2) a time Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance wi	ly filed Notice of Appeal (with a	mely filed amendment which pla ppeal fee); or (3) a timely filed F	ices the Request for	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not of final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111.	onstitute a proper reply, or a bo (See explanation in box 7 belo	ona fide attempt at a proper repl w).	y, to the non-	
(d) No reply has been received.			•	
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue f from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (P (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable), which is after the expiration of the statu 	TOL-85). e, was received on (wit	h a Certificate of Mailing or Tra	ansmission dated	
Allowance (PTOL-85).				
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A b				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		uired by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·	
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,	nas not been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings a Allowability (PTO-37). 	s required by, and within the th	ree-month period set in, the Not	tice of	
 (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	(with a Certificate of Ma	iling or Transmission dated), which is	
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	. '			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed the applicants.	by the attorney or agent of rec	ord, the assignee of the entire ir	nterest, or all of	
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	by an attorney or agent (acting	in a representative capacity un	der 37 CFR	
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Ir of the decision has expired and there are no allowed	nterference rendered on d claims.	and because the period for see	king court review	
7. The reason(s) below:				
See Continuation Sheet				
			7 4	
·		Wen-Tai Lin Primary Examinei Art Unit: 2154	wer Jart: 5/14/07	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) N	otice of Abandonment	Part of Pap	er No. 20070511	

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Applicant failed to respond to a communication notifying that the appeal brief filed 9/1/2005 missed attorney's signature. Subsequently Applicant filed a petition along with a properly signed appeal brief expressing that the previous delay was unintentional. The petition was dismissed because a terminal disclaimer was required. Applicant was given two months to submit the aforementioned terminal disclaimer, but Applicant failed to respond.